

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/020,151	12/18/2001	Roger S. Cubicciotti	031676.0322	7118
21967 7	7590 01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			SNAY, JEFFREY R	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			1743	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/020,151	CUBICCIOTTI, ROGER S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 I	November 2005.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-53, 59-70 and 75-9-87</u> is/are pen	ding in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>50-52,59,60 and 79-86</u> is/are allowe						
6) Claim(s) <u>53,61-70 and 75-78</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53,61-70, 75-78 and 87</u> is/are object	ed to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicati	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-14-05 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 53, 61, 63-69, 75-78 and 87 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 50 recites a "conversion means," which applicant stipulates as referencing structural embodiments of the specification, all of which include a stabilized phycobilisome. See page 10 of Applicant's 11-14-05 response. Moreover, such phycobilisome inherently requires the presence of (1) a supramolecular light-absorbing structure, (2) two or mor phycobiliproteins, and (3) linker polypeptides connecting the phycobiliproteins in a particular orientation that facilitates energy transfer. See page 16 of Applicant's 11-15-05 response.

As such, each of claims 53 and 87 fails to limit the subject matter of claim 50. Each of claims 68, 69, 75-78 fails to limit the subject matter of claim 61. Furthermore,

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

claim 67 fails to limit the subject matter of claim 61 because it recites merely an intended use of the same system.

3. Claims 53, 61, 67-69, 75-78 and 87 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being substantial duplicates of claim 50. Claims 63-66 are are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being substantial duplicates of claims 51, 59, 60, and 52, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP 706.03(k). Specifically, the noted duplicate claims recite features that are already presented by the allowed claims, and therefore are of identical scope.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 50-52, 59, 60 and 79-86 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 62 and 70 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (571) 272-1264. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

jrs